



(30)  
FEB 12 1943

CHARLES F. HARRIS  
CLERK

No. 281

---

The Supreme Court of the United States

---

WAYNE N. MASON, Administrator of  
the Estate of William S. Mason, Deceased,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE FEDERAL LAND BANK OF  
BERKELEY, a Corporation.

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING

---

J. D. SKRIN,  
E. J. SKRIN,  
Counsel for Petitioner.

---



# **The Supreme Court of the United States**

---

WAYNE N. MASON, Administrator of  
the Estate of William S. Mason, Deceased,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE FEDERAL LAND BANK OF  
BERKELEY, a Corporation.

---

## **PETITION FOR REHEARING**

---

Comes now the above named petitioner and respectfully petitions the Court for a rehearing on the petition for writ of certiorari. This petition is based upon the following grounds, towit:

1. That the Court has erred in holding that an administrator does not represent heirs under the laws of the State of Utah, and that the laws of the State of Utah prohibit an administrator from making application to the Federal Court for relief under the Bankruptcy Act.
2. That the Court has erred in holding that the Federal Land Bank of Berkeley, a secured creditor, is prejudiced or damaged by the application of an administrator for relief under the provisions of Section 75 of the Bankruptcy Act.
3. The Court has erred in assuming that a conflict exists between the jurisdiction of the state probate court and

Federal District Court by reason of the application of the administrator for relief under Section 75 of the Bankruptcy Act.

J. D. SKEEN,

E. J. SKEEN,

Attorneys for Petitioner.

I hereby certify that the foregoing petition for rehearing is made in good faith and not in delay.

.....'  
J. D. SKEEN,

Attorney for Petitioner.

---

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR RE-  
HEARING.**

The petitioner hereby refers to and adopts the brief of the petitioner in the matter of

Sterling P. Harris, Administrator of the Estate  
of Anna L. Harris, Deceased, Debtor Petitioner  
v. Zion's Savings Bank & Trust Company, No. 268 in This Court,

in support of the foregoing petition for rehearing of said matter.

Said brief is referred to and adopted for the reason that it is thought that the petition in this proceeding has been denied because of the ruling of this Court in the case referred to, and if a petition for rehearing is granted in said case, the said petitioner wishes to protect the record in order that the same rule may apply in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

J. D. SKEEN,

E. J. SKEEN,

Counsel for Petitioner.

*Eud*

